# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,293	12/22/2005	Peter W. Green	GB02 0136 US	3260
	7590 12/20/200 CTRONICS NORTH A	. EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS 1109 MCKAY DRIVE, M/S-41SJ SAN JOSE, CA 95131			TOBERGTE, NICHOLAS J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/20/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/562,293	GREEN, PETER W.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Nicholas J. Tobergte	2823		
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply		the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAII  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communium of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , by statute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  by be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of the communication (s) filed of the commun</li></ul>	☐ This action is non-final.  Tallowance except for formal matter			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-21</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-21</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.	-		
Application Papers		,		
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance e correction is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO		Mail Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/22/05.	5) Notice of Info	rmal Patent Application		

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 16-21 in the reply filed on 12/5/06 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamazaki et al (US 6,501,094).

Pertaining to claim 16, Yamazaki teaches a TFT comprising a substrate a gate overlying the substrate 101 and having side edges inclined towards one another, a channel region overlying the gate and source and drain regions 113 and 114 overlying said side edges respectively, wherein the gate has been formed on the substrate by an etching process that involved formation of a tip in an apex region between the side edges or a radius of a few nanometers.

Pertaining to claim 17, Yamazaki teaches a TFT according to claim 16, wherein the tip was removed before the channel region was applied. Method limitations are not

Application/Control Number: 10/562,293 Page 3

Art Unit: 2823

patentable when the invention is drawn to a device. Since Yamazaki teaches the end structure, then it meets the claims. How the structure was formed is irrelevant.

Pertaining to claim 18, Yamazaki teaches a TFT according to claim 16, wherein the gate 100 is overlaid by a layer of insulating material 105, the channel region overlies the insulating material 105, a layer of doped semiconductor material 133 and 114 overlies the channel region, and a layer of conductive material 116 and 117 from which said source and drain regions have been formed, overlies the doped semiconductor material.

Pertaining to claim 19, Yamazaki teaches a TFT according to claim 16 wherein the channel region comprises intrinsic amorphous silicon **107**.

Pertaining to claim 21, Yamazaki teaches a TFT according to claim 18, wherein the doped semiconductor material 113 and 114 comprises n doped silicon.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,293

Art Unit: 2823

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki as applied to claims 16-19 above.

Pertaining to claim 20, Yamazaki teaches a TFT according to claim 18, but fails to teach wherein the insulating layer is silicon nitride. Yamazaki teaches an insulating layer of silicon oxide. However it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute a known insulative material such as silicon nitride, with that of silicon oxide. Silicon nitride is a notoriously well-known insulator in the art for which it pertains. See also In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960) (selection of a known plastic to make a container of a type made of plastics prior to the invention was held to be obvious)

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas J. Tobergte whose telephone number is 571-272-6006. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thur 7am - 5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,293 Page 5

Art Unit: 2823

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NJT

FERNÁNDO L. TOLEDO
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER